

Concerns

Introduction

I want to make a direct and clear document describing my concerns of CamiiMC who is currently applying to become a Spawnmason. I will not beat around the bush and display my intentions up front. I want to convince as many people as possible to vote **no** for Camii. This does **not** mean I will show uncharitable characterizations of events, but rather by being upfront with my intention I have taken careful precaution to be *as considerate as possible*. I understand that The SpawnMasons value candid and measured criticism in which multiple times I will show that I go above and beyond to suffice this need. Hopefully, if done right, this will invalidate concerns over my personal dislike of Camii. I will show that by instating him with the Mason rank, there will be a direct conflict of interest with what we collectively desire.


KrazyKrewTV

KrazyKrew is a long, long time friend of CamiiMC. They have been friends for an extended duration bound together through a group known as T-Gang, led by Dawnfall (most famous for creating Mapopoly). It must be pointed out that the testimony from KrazyKrew is mediocre at best, as he is driven by spite for Camii because of recent stuff with building the VEA logo at Spawn . Regardless, evidence provided certainly shows that Camii lacks concerns for private information given to him.

I. Leijurv's email with Hause

Exhibit 1 (below could not fit here) is a conversation between KrazyKrew and CamiiMC. The topic was on information that Leijurv [PRIVATELY](#) shared in [Spawnmason chat](#). One way or another, possibly through a game of [telephone](#), information was revealed to Camii and he decided to intentionally share this information with a person who is *not even in the discord*. Telephone was possibly at play since his information isn't entirely accurate. I emphasize his use of language in Exhibit 1, he knows for certain that he is not able to reveal this information but yet he does to his personal friend. Why should we trust an individual who nonchalantly delegates private information to his friends in this manner? It's easy to imagine what would have happened if more private information he had access to was shared and then leaked subsequently after a falling out.

Exhibit 1:



II. Testimony & Streaming of Bases

Other information was supposedly leaked by CamiiMC but not in chats but rather in non-recorded voice chats. Obviously it's important to understand that this is a testimony but I believe there is a reasonable inference that can be made. Firstly, it is alleged that he streamed to KrazyKrew the following: Cave, the new Archive Spawn World, the Creative Server Spawn. All three he described to me; this is extremely concerning. All three of these pieces of information are not necessarily “private” but only able to be accessed via the Creative Server. Remember KrazyKrew had been friends with Camii for years at this point. Streaming our private bases is not behavior that deserves a “yes” vote. I expect the response to this is to downplay the severity (to be fair the Archive Spawn World is not private but it shows the fact Camii has streamed material to him) but I hold that the principle of these events transpiring would be a crucial mistake.

III. CamiiMC’s knowledge of Mun Map prior to its reveal

Camii had knowledge of the Mun Map exploit before the public did, possibly even before some masons (timelines get tricky so maybe not). It was revealed to me in a call from P529 that Entropy had accidentally revealed information to Camii about the exploit and that some event of “whitelisting” occurred. By whitelisting I mean the intentional adding of names to a list to not

take their stash from. This whitelist certainly existed but the extent of it was not known to KrazyKrew. Rather KrazyKrew claims that Camii revealed that he was “safe” as he was in tgang. KrazyKrew had a stash he believed was stash but was still raided. For reference Enigma's reddit post which fully revealed the exploit was on **October 20th**, almost a month after these screenshots. It's important to note munmap was semi under the radar but these screenshots connect Camii to this directly.

Exhibit 2:




Exhibit 2.1:




Exhibit 2.2:





Exhibit 3:



All of these screenshots in Exhibit 2 are a part of a greater conversation along with his stashmates. He shows that on September 30th he is aware that putting a CamiiMC sign at his stash will somehow protect himself from being

“munmapped.” What is significant is not the events that occurred after but rather how information is purposely and maliciously handled by Camii time and time again. Now I will reveal the conclusion of what happened but it's really a distraction, P529 repurposed his stash. What likely occurred is that KrazyKrew was under the impression that putting a sign from Camii will prevent his stash from being repurposed. P529 confirms that he asked Camii about the sign and I suspect that Camii was driven by spite as one of the stashmates he did not like according to Dawnfall's conversation with KrazyKrew in Exhibit 3.

Personal Agitation

I. Threatening to not be my friend if I did not want him to be guested in the SpawnMasons.

Yes, this seriously happened. P529 accidentally shared a private conversation we had about if CamiiMC should be a guest in the Masons in which I told him “no.” When Camii heard about this information he was seriously hurt and felt as if I betrayed him. He was so mad that in voice chat he explained to me how he does not want to be my friend anymore. I hold that it is not my responsibility to want all my friends to be guests in the SpawnMasons. He later apologized and said he would still want to be friends with me. While I do accept the apology, I am very cautious in letting someone in the group who exhibits this kind of behavior, A behavior that is threatening and concerning.

Conclusion

There is no doubt that CamiiMC has always wanted to be in the SpawnMasons. What really agitates me is how he treats others outside of the SpawnMasons, especially my friends. I recall him calling one of my long time friends a “no name” simply because he was associated with me. While trashtalk goes in 2b2t chat, this is not something I wish to foster in this group. Please vote no.